## DCE-MRI assessment of the effect of vandetanib on tumor vasculature in patients with advanced colorectal cancer and liver metastases: a randomized phase I study

Klaus Mross, 1, a, @ Ulrike Fasol, 2 Annette Frost, 1 Robin Benkelmann, 1 Jan Kuhlmann, 3 Martin Büchert, 2 Clemens Unger, 1 Hubert Blum, 3 Jürgen Hennig, 2 Tsveta P Milenkova, 4 Jean Tessier, 4 Annetta D Krebs, 5 Anderson J Ryan, 4 Richard Fischer, 3 @ corresponding author, & equal contributor

Journal of Angiogenesis Research. 2009; **1**(1):5 | © Mross et al Received: 10 July 2009 | Accepted: 21 September 2009 | Published: 21 September 2009 Vascular Cell ISSN: 2045-824X DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/2040-2384-1-5

#### Author information

- 1. Tumor Biology Centre at the Albert-Ludwigs-University; Freiburg, Germany
- 2. Magnetic Resonance Development and Application Center Universitätsklinikum; Freiburg, Germany
- 3. Tumor Centre Ludwig-Heilmeyer, Comprehensive Cancer Center Freiburg University Hospital; Freiburg, Germany
- 4. AstraZeneca; Macclesfield, UK
- 5. AstraZeneca; Wilmington, DE USA

[a] mross@tumorbio.uni-freiburg.de

## Abstract

#### Background

Vandetanib is a once-daily oral inhibitor of VEGFR, EGFR and RET signaling pathways. In patients with advanced colorectal cancer and liver metastases, the effect of vandetanib on tumor vasculature was assessed using dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI).

#### Methods

Eligible patients received vandetanib 100 or 300 mg/day. DCE-MRI (iAUC<sub>60</sub> and K<sup>trans</sup>) was used to quantify the primary endpoints of tumor perfusion and vascular permeability. An exploratory assessment of tumor oxygenation was performed using MRI/T2\*. All MRI parameters were measured at baseline (twice) and on days 2, 8, 29 and 57.

#### Results

Twenty-two patients received vandetanib (n = 10, 100 mg; n = 12, 300 mg). Baseline measurements of iAUC<sub>60</sub> and K<sup>trans</sup> were reproducible, with low intrapatient coefficients of variation (11% and 24%, respectively). Estimates of mean % changes from baseline were -3.4% (100 mg) and -4.6% (300 mg) for iAUC<sub>60</sub>, and -4.6% (100 mg) and -2.7% (300 mg) for K<sup>trans</sup>; these changes were not significantly different between doses. The exploratory T2\* measurement showed a significant increase at 300 mg versus 100 mg (P = 0.006). Both doses of vandetanib were generally well tolerated; common toxicities were fatigue, rash and diarrhea (majority CTC grade 1 or 2). The pharmacokinetic profile of vandetanib was similar to that observed previously. There were no RECIST-defined objective responses; five patients experienced stable disease  $\geq 8$  weeks.

#### Conclusion

In this study in patients with advanced colorectal cancer, vandetanib did not modulate gadolinium uptake in tumor vasculature and tissue measured by the DCE-MRI parameters iAUC<sub>60</sub> and K<sup>trans</sup>.

#### **Trial registration**

NCT00496509 (ClinicalTrials.gov); D4200C00050 (AstraZeneca)

## Background

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) has a pivotal role in tumor angiogenesis, which is required for the growth of most solid tumors and the formation of metastases. The VEGF signaling pathway is a validated therapeutic target in several solid tumors, including advanced colorectal cancer [1], non-small-cell lung cancer [2] and renal cell carcinoma [3, 4].

Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI) is a non-invasive functional that permits imaging technique indirect measurement of tumor hemodynamics. It may therefore be suitable for monitoring the effects of VEGF signaling inhibitors on tumor vasculature. DCE-MRI utilizes a low molecular weiaht paramagnetic contrast agent such as gadolinium-DTPA, which readily diffuses from the blood to the extravascular extracellular space. By acquiring a set of rapid MR images, the time course of the change in T1 relaxation time induced by the contrast agent may be followed. Contrast agent concentration can be calculated from T1 relaxation times using the known linear relationship [5]. The time course obtained can be characterized by the initial area under the contrast agent concentrationtime curve (iAUC) or a pharmacokinetic model may be applied. With the latter, the data are fitted to estimate the transfer of contrast agent between the plasma and the extracellular, extravascular space (the transfer constant K<sup>trans</sup>). Although iAUC and K<sup>trans</sup> are incompletely validated endpoints that are sensitive to changes in a number of hemodynamic parameters, including blood flow, blood volume, vessel permeability and vessel surface area [6]. emerging data from several early-phase clinical trials of VEGF signaling inhibitors have shown

## Methods

## Patients

Eligible patients were adults with histologically confirmed metastatic colorectal adenocarcinoma (stage IV) with at least one measurable hepatic lesion  $\geq$ 20 mm, WHO performance status 0-2, life expectancy  $\geq$ 12 weeks, and no significant cardiac, hematopoietic, hepatic and renal dysfunction. Patients with brain metastases were eligible if treated at least 4 weeks before the start of study treatment and if clinically stable without steroid treatment for  $\geq 10$  days. Key exclusion criteria were previous chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy (excluding palliative radiotherapy) less than 4 weeks before the start of study therapy, a QTc interval  $\geq$ 480 ms during ECG screening, and poorly controlled hypertension. Patients for whom MRI scanning is contraindicated (e.g. pacemaker, heart valve replacement) were also excluded.

## Study design

In this open-label study, 24 patients were planned to be randomized 1:1 to receive once-daily oral

changes in  $K^{trans}$  and/or iAUC that are consistent with reductions in VEGF-dependent tumor perfusion and vascular permeability [7-11].

Vandetanib (ZACTIMA<sup>™</sup>) is a once-daily oral anticancer drug that selectively targets VEGFRdependent tumor angiogenesis and REarranged during Transfection (RET)- and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-dependent tumor cell proliferation and survival. Preclinical DCE-MRI studies of vandetanib have demonstrated acute effects on hemodynamic variables in human prostate and colon xenograft models consistent with inhibition of VEGF signaling [12, 13]. Vandetanib is currently in phase III development in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and medullary thyroid cancer. Two doses of vandetanib were selected for investigation in the present study (100 mg and 300 mg). Previous phase I studies of vandetanib have shown these doses to be well tolerated and to achieve steady-state plasma levels that are likely to be biologically active [14-16]. In addition, both doses were clinically active as monotherapy in phase II studies in NSCLC [17] and medullary thyroid cancer [18, 19].

The primary objective of this open-label, randomized phase I study (study code D4200C00050) was to assess by DCE-MRI the effect of once-daily vandetanib on K<sup>trans</sup> and iAUC<sub>60</sub> (iAUC of the first 60 s after contrast agent arrival) in patients with advanced colorectal cancer and liver metastases. An exploratory objective was to investigate the effects of vandetanib on the tumor by intrinsic susceptibility MRI, a technique that may have utility in measuring tumor hypoxia in response to vascular disruption [20].

doses of vandetanib 100 mg or 300 mg. There was no stratification and patients continued treatment until progressive disease, withdrawal due to toxicity, patient lost to follow up, severe noncompliance with the protocol or voluntary discontinuation by the patient. The primary objective of this study was to assess by DCE-MRI the effect of once-daily dosing with vandetanib on the tumor vasculature by determining iAUC<sub>60</sub> and K<sup>trans</sup>. Secondary assessments included safety and tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and a preliminary evaluation of efficacy. Exploratory assessments included the effects of vandetanib on the tumor by intrinsic susceptibility MRI, measurement of the target tumor size by MRI, and the effect of vandetanib on soluble markers of angiogenesis.

The trial was approved by the Bundesinstitut für Arzneimittel und Medizinprodukte institutional review board/research ethics committee, and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, Good Clinical Practice and the AstraZeneca policy on Bioethics. All patients provided written informed consent.

#### Assessments

#### **Statistical analyses**

The effect of vandetanib on MRI parameters was assessed using repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) model fitted to  $\log_e$  transformed variables, with baseline as a covariate, dose and visit as fixed effects, and subjects as a random effect. Comparisons were performed to provide the least squares estimates and corresponding 95% CIs at each visit. Results are reported as the mean percentage change and associated 95% CI from baseline by dose. The proportion of patients with a >40% reduction post-baseline for K<sup>trans</sup> and iAUC<sub>60</sub> has been summarized for each dose level; the

## Results

#### Patients

From 15 August 2006, 22 patients were enrolled in two centers in Germany and received study treatment; 10 patients were randomized to the vandetanib 100 mg group and 12 patients to the vandetanib 300 mg group (Table 1). The analysis population consisted of all subjects who had received at least one dose of vandetanib (intentto-treat [ITT]). Eighteen patients continued study >40% threshold was predefined and has been used previously for detection of anti-vascular activity by DCE-MRI [7]. One-sided P values were calculated for dose comparison of percentage decreases from baseline in K<sup>trans</sup>, iAUC<sub>60</sub> and LC<sub>DCE-MRI</sub>. The effect of vasoactive agents on T2\*, and whether this produces an increase or a decrease of T2\*, depends on the balance between any change of blood volume and blood flow coupled with any change in oxygen utilization [25]. Since this effect could not be predicted in the present study, a two-sided P value was calculated for T2\*. Population pharmacokinetic and pharmacokineticpharmacodynamic modeling was conducted using NONMEM software [26, 27].

treatment until progression, three patients discontinued (two due to an adverse event, one due to violation of exclusion criteria) and one patient was ongoing on vandetanib 300 mg at data cutoff (22 June 2007). Median exposure to vandetanib was 34 days (range 28-58) in the 100 mg group and 60 days (range 9-202) in the 300 mg group. The demographic characteristics and previous anticancer treatments were generally well balanced between the two cohorts, although there were more female patients in the vandetanib 300 mg group than in the 100 mg group.

#### <u>Table 1</u>

Patient characteristics (ITT population)

Baseline characteristics	Vandetanib 100 mg( $n = 10$ )Vandetanib 300 mg( $n = 12$ )		
Median age, years (range)	62.5 (3877)	61 (4173)	
Male (%)	6 (60)	5 (42)	
Female (%)	4 (40)	7 (58)	
Race			
Caucasian (%)	10 (100)	12 (100)	
WHO performance status (%)			
01	6 (60)4 (40)	8 (67)4 (33)	
Previous chemotherapy regimens (%)			
Any	10 (100)	12 (100)	
1	1 (10)	4 (33)	
2	3 (30)	2 (17)	
3 or more	6 (60)	6 (50)	
Prior cetuximab therapy (%)	7 (70)	7 (58)	
Prior bevacizumab therapy (%)	5 (50)	4 (33)	

#### **MRI results**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

After two doses of vandetanib, both the area under the curve to 24 h (AUC<sub>0-24</sub>) and the maximum concentration ( $C_{max}$ ) increased in a dose proportional manner, with gmean AUC<sub>0-24</sub> of 1370 ng/mL·h (100 mg) and 4913 ng/mL·h (300 mg), and gmean  $C_{max}$  of 72.7 ng/mL (100 mg) and 268.5 ng/ mL (300 mg). The gmean accumulation at steady state was 4.3-fold in the 300 mg group and 6.12-fold for the one evaluable patient in the 100 mg dose group. Determination of  $C_{min}$  throughout the study period showed that steady-state exposure was achieved from day 15 onwards (Fig. 5). The fraction of vandetanib unbound on day 2 was approximately 0.065 for both doses and, based on the 300 mg cohort, this was unaltered at the higher levels observed at steady state. A population pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic analysis showed little evidence of any correlation between the DCE-MRI variables and either the plasma concentration, daily exposure or total exposure to free or total vandetanib (data not shown).



#### Figure 5 caption

Cplasma concentrations (ng/mL) for vandetanib 100 mg and 300 mg. Data are shown as geometric mean ( $\pm$  SD).

## Soluble markers of angiogenesis/tumor activity

Higher plasma levels of VEGF were detected at both vandetanib doses following multiple dosing, although large variability was observed (data not shown). There was no suggestion of a dose effect. No consistent time- or dose-related changes from baseline were observed for the other markers evaluated (sVEGFR-2, bFGF, EGFR, Tie-2, Ang1 and Ang2; data not shown).

#### Efficacy

There were no RECIST-defined objective responses as assessed by contrast-enhanced CT. Among the 21 evaluable patients, five patients in the 300 mg group had a best response of stable disease  $\geq$ 8 weeks and the remaining 16 patients experienced progressive disease. One patient in the 300 mg group had no post-baseline measurements and was therefore not evaluable. A waterfall plot of the best percentage change from baseline in the size of target lesions is presented in Fig. <u>6</u>. Median PFS was 62 days (95% CI, 57 to 177) in the 300 mg group and 34 days (95% CI, 33 to 37) in the 100 mg group.



#### Figure 6 caption

Best percentage change from baseline in the size of target lesion (RECIST assessment performed by CT). The best percentage change is defined as the biggest decrease, or smallest increase if no decrease. The threshold for partial response is --30% (dashed line).

#### Safety and tolerability

Both vandetanib doses were generally well tolerated. The most frequently reported adverse events, irrespective of causality, were fatigue, diarrhea, dry mouth and nausea (Table 3). More adverse events were reported in the 300 mg group compared with the 100 mg group, which is consistent with the greater number of days on treatment for the 300 mg group. The majority of adverse events were CTCAE grade 1 or 2, including all cases of diarrhea. The most common adverse events considered by the investigator to be related to vandetanib were dry mouth, dysphonia (both n = 5), diarrhea, fatigue, acne, dry skin (all n = 4) and hypertension (n = 3). Four of these adverse events were CTCAE grade 3 (all n = 1): allergic dermatitis, fatigue, photosensitivity reaction (all 300 mg) and hypertension (100 mg). No grade 4 events were reported. Adverse events that were considered by the investigator to be related to

reductions or interruptions. Two patients in the 300 mg group experienced adverse events that led to discontinuation of treatment: allergic dermatitis and photosensitivity reaction (both grade 3) in one patient and QTc prolongation (grade 2) in another. Nine deaths occurred during this study before data cut-off and all were as a result of disease progression. Clinical laboratory evaluations did not show any clinically relevant changes in any clinical chemistry, hematology and urinalysis parameter. There was also no consistent trend in mean blood pressure values, although increases in systolic and/ or diastolic blood pressure were observed during treatment, particularly in patients with a history of hypertension or patients who were borderline hypertensive at study entry. These increases in blood pressure were considered by the investigator to be related to vandetanib.

study treatment were mostly manageable by dose

#### <u>Table 3</u>

Adverse event*	Vandetanib 100 mg( <i>n</i> = 10) <i>n</i> (%)	Vandetanib 300 mg( <i>n</i> = 12) <i>n</i> (%)	Total ( <i>n</i> = 22) <i>n</i> (%)
Fatigue	6 (60)	7 (58)	13 (59)
Rash <sup>†</sup>	2 (20)	10 (83)	11 (50)
Diarrhea	2 (20)	7 (58)	9 (41)
Dry mouth	2 (20)	4 (33)	6 (27)
Nausea	3 (30)	3 (25)	6 (27)
Anorexia	3 (30)	2 (17)	5 (23)
Dysphonia	1 (10)	4 (33)	5 (23)
Abdominal pain	1 (10)	3 (25)	4 (18)
Acne	0	4 (33)	4 (18)
Cough	1 (10)	3 (25)	4 (18)
Dizziness	3 (30)	1 (8)	4 (18)
Dry skin	1 (10)	3 (25)	4 (18)
Flatulence	1 (10)	3 (25)	4 (18)
Hypertension	1 (10)	3 (25)	4 (18)
Insomnia	3 (30)	1 (8)	4 (18)
Nasopharyngitis	2 (20)	2 (17)	4 (18)
Peripheral edema	4 (40)	0	4 (18)
Sinus tachycardia	1 (10)	3 (25)	4 (18)
Weight decreased	1 (10)	3 (25)	4 (18)

Adverse events reported in >3 patients overall

\*MedDRA-preferred term except for rash

<sup>†</sup>MedDRA grouped term, which includes the following preferred terms: dry skin, erythema, photosensitivity reaction, rash papular, rash pustular, dermatitis allergic, exfoliative rash, and rash erythematous

## Discussion

This randomized, open-label study used DCE-MRI to investigate the effect of once-daily oral dosing with vandetanib (100 mg or 300 mg) on tumor perfusion and vascular permeability in 22 patients with advanced colorectal cancer and liver metastases. The primary DCE-MRI variables of iAUC<sub>60</sub> and K<sup>trans</sup> did not show any statistically significant changes from baseline for either treatment group. Therefore, the study did not support the hypothesis that vandetanib has effects on tumor vasculature, as defined by changes in gadolinium uptake measured by  $iAUC_{60}$  and  $K^{trans}$ . The safety and pharmacokinetic profiles of vandetanib were similar to those observed in previous phase I studies [15, 16]. Both vandetanib doses were generally well tolerated with no new toxicities reported. A preliminary assessment of efficacy showed no RECIST objective responses in either treatment group, with five patients in the 300 mg group experiencing a best response of stable disease.

There are several possible explanations for the absence of detectable changes in gadolinium uptake and tumor shrinkage with vandetanib in this setting. Although variations in institutional DCE-MRI protocols and different patient populations do not permit direct comparison, studies of other VEGFR-2 tyrosine kinase inhibitors have demonstrated reductions in iAUC/K<sup>trans</sup> in patients with advanced cancer [7-10]. Therefore, one explanation could be that vandetanib is not sufficiently active versus VEGFR-2 at the two doses investigated. However, this seems unlikely given that vandetanib has previously demonstrated single-agent antitumor activity at 100 mg and 300 mg in NSCLC [17] and in medullary thyroid cancer [18, 19]; the present study also showed some evidence of antitumor effects (though not tumor shrinkage), with five patients in the 300 mg cohort experiencing stable disease. Inhibition of EGFR (NSCLC) and RET (medullary thyroid cancer) tyrosine kinases is also likely to be contributing to the activity of vandetanib in these tumor types; nevertheless, its relatively greater potency versus VEGFR-2 in vitro [14] suggests that vandetanib should achieve at least comparable inhibition of VEGFR-2 versus EGFR/RET in vivo . Moreover, in the present study, both vandetanib doses achieved steady-state plasma drug levels that were several-fold greater than the IC<sub>50</sub> for inhibition of VEGF-dependent proliferation of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (29 ng/mL) [28]. An anti-VEGFR-2 effect of vandetanib at 100 mg and 300 mg is also supported by an exploratory pharmacodynamic study in patients with breast cancer, which showed

inhibition of VEGFR-2 phosphorylation in skin biopsy tissue after 28 days of vandetanib treatment [29].

A second explanation may be that vandetanib is not active against the tumor vasculature in this particular disease setting. Indeed, the antitumor effects of vandetanib in this group of patients with colorectal cancer were modest compared with its single-agent activity in NSCLC [17] or medullary thyroid cancer [18, 19]. Furthermore, the canonical changes in plasma VEGF and VEGFR-2 that have been observed with vandetanib in NSCLC [17] and with other VEGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors across different tumor types [7, 30] were not seen in the present study. In patients with colorectal cancer, objective tumor responses and effects on gadolinium uptake in tumor vasculature have been observed in single-agent studies of cediranib [7] and vatalanib [<u>31</u>]. Both of these VEGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors, as well as bevacizumab, have activity versus VEGFR-1 and VEGFR-2 signaling [32, <u>33</u>]. In contrast, vandetanib is selective for VEGFR-2 versus VEGFR-1 [28]. It is known that colorectal tumor cells express VEGFR-1 and that autocrine signaling may play a role in tumor cell survival/ migration [34]. Activity versus VEGFR-1 may therefore be an important contribution to any effects of antiangiogenic agents on both RECIST assessments and gadolinium uptake in colorectal cancer. In this respect, it is interesting that a recent pan-tumor study with CDP791, a high affinity PEGvlated di-Fab conjugate that specifically binds VEGFR-2, showed limited efficacy and no effect on K<sup>trans</sup> [35].

As discussed above, vandetanib has additional activity versus EGFR and the adverse event profile of vandetanib in this and previous studies [17, 36, <u>37</u>] is consistent with pharmacodynamic inhibition of both VEGFR (hypertension) and EGFR signaling (rash, diarrhea). Combining inhibition of VEGF (bevacizumab) and EGFR (cetuximab) signaling on background of chemotherapy has been investigated in two recent colorectal cancer studies, which produced different outcomes. The exploratory efficacy results from the BOND-2 study irinotecan-refractory, in bevacizumaband cetuximab-naïve patients suggested that adding bevacizumab to cetuximab ± irinotecan may be more effective compared with historical controls [38]. However, the first-line CAIRO-2 study found that adding cetuximab to bevacizumab, capecitabine and oxaliplatin resulted in а significantly shorter PFS [39]. The CAIRO-2 authors speculated that these results may be due to a negative interaction between cetuximab and bevacizumab, and noted that the incidence of hypertension, a relatively common side effect of treatment with bevacizumab and other VEGF signaling inhibitors, was significantly reduced in patients receiving cetuximab. These data suggest, at least in some settings, that the vascular effects

## Conclusion

associated with VEGF inhibition may be diminished with concomitant EGFR inhibition. Other than vandetanib, AEE788 is the only dual VEGFR and tyrosine kinase inhibitor in clinical EGFR development and it is worth noting that AEE788 also showed no effect on gadolinium uptake in patients with advanced colorectal cancer and liver metastases [40]. An additional factor in the present study is that most patients had received previous treatment with bevacizumab and/or cetuximab, which may have affected responsiveness to VEGFR-2/EGFR subsequent tyrosine kinase inhibition. The mechanism of tumor resistance to the monoclonal antibodies bevacizumab and cetuximab is not well understood and warrants further investigation.

It is also possible that vandetanib treatment may hemodynamic induce changes, such as normalization/remodeling of the tumor vasculature as hypothesized by Jain [41], that would not necessarily be detected by estimating changes in Ktrans and iAUC<sub>60</sub>. More complex DCE-MRI approaches such as the St Lawrence and Lee model [42], which is able to derive independent measurement of blood flow, blood volume and permeability surface area, may be more appropriate for detecting complex changes in tumor vascularity and hemodynamics. Normalization of the tumor vasculature might also be expected to improve tumor oxygenation and blood flow. In this regard, the results from the exploratory assessment of T2\* using intrinsic susceptibility MRI merit discussion. Changes in T2\* can be used to monitor changes in deoxyhemoglobin and an increase in T2\* could result from improved tumor oxygenation and blood flow (i.e., normalization) [25]. However, T2\* is influenced by other factors and is therefore a difficult parameter to interpret on its own [25, 43]. In the absence of detectable effects on tumor hemodynamics as measured by DCE-MRI, an increase in T2\* could be attributed to an increase in tumor cell death [<u>43</u>, <u>44</u>]. As such, the significant increase in T2\* at vandetanib 300 mg compared with 100 mg in the present study may reflect increased tumor necrosis at the higher dose. Further correlative work is needed to understand the biological basis of changes in T2\* in the clinical setting.

Population pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic analyses showed correlation between no vandetanib exposure and anv of the pharmacodynamic parameters analyzed. Given the long half-life of vandetanib, it may take up to 4 weeks for vandetanib to reach steady state [14]; in the present study, steady state was attained from day 15 at the earliest, but was mostly from day 22 onwards. It is not fully understood how tumor growth/adaptation during this prolonged period of drug accumulation may affect pharmacodynamic variables.

iAUC60 and K<sup>trans</sup> provided no evidence that In the present study, DCE-MRI assessments of vandetanib modulated gadolinium uptake within

the tumor vasculature of patients with advanced colorectal cancer and liver metastases. As discussed, these findings from a small open-label study of only 24 patients should be interpreted with caution. particularly since vandetanib has previously demonstrated evidence of antitumor activity in phase II studies in advanced NSCLC and medullary thyroid cancer that is consistent with inhibition of VEGFR activity. Vandetanib is one of a number of VEGF signaling inhibitors in clinical development and each has а different pharmacological profile [45]. We raise the

#### Acknowledgements

We thank John Matthew of Mudskipper Bioscience who provided medical writing support funded by AstraZeneca.

# Authors' original submitted files for images

Below are the links to the authors' original submitted files for images.

Authors' original file for figure 1 <u>Click here to view.</u>

Authors' original file for figure 2 <u>Click here to view.</u> possibility that the different selectivity profiles could result in agent-specific pharmacodynamic effects on tumor vasculature that may not be completely accounted for by changes in DCE-MRI variables such as  $iAUC_{60}$  and  $K^{trans}$ . Vandetanib continues to be investigated in a range of other tumor types, including colorectal cancer and phase III programs in advanced NSCLC and medullary thyroid cancer.

This study was supported financially by AstraZeneca.

ZACTIMA is a trademark of the AstraZeneca group of companies.

Authors' original file for figure 3 <u>Click here to view.</u>

Authors' original file for figure 4 <u>Click here to view.</u>

Authors' original file for figure 5 <u>Click here to view.</u>

Authors' original file for figure 6 <u>Click here to view.</u>

## References

- Hurwitz H, Fehrenbacher L, Novotny W, Cartwright T, Hainsworth J, Heim W, Berlin J, Baron A, Griffing S, Holmgren E, Ferrara N, Fyfe G, Rogers B, Ross R, Kabbinavar F. Bevacizumab plus irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin for metastatic colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2004;350:2335-2342.
- Sandler A, Gray R, Perry MC, Brahmer J, Schiller JH, Dowlati A, Lilenbaum R, Johnson DH. Paclitaxelcarboplatin alone or with bevacizumab for nonsmall-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med. 2006;355:2542-2550.
- Motzer RJ, Hutson TE, Tomczak P, Michaelson MD, Bukowski RM, Rixe O, Oudard S, Negrier S, Szczylik C, Kim ST, Chen I, Bycott PW, Baum CM, Figlin RA. Sunitinib versus interferon alfa in metastatic renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2007;356:115-124.
- Escudier B, Eisen T, Stadler WM, Szczylik C, Oudard S, Siebels M, Negrier S, Chevreau C, Solska E, Desai AA, Rolland F, Demkow T, Hutson TE, Gore M, Freeman S, Schwartz B, Shan M, Simantov R, Bukowski RM. Sorafenib in advanced clear-cell renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2007;356:125-134.

- Rohrer M, Bauer H, Mintorovitch J, Requardt M, Weinmann HJ. Comparison of magnetic properties of MRI contrast media solutions at different magnetic field strengths. Invest Radiol. 2005;40:715-724.
- O'Connor JP, Jackson A, Parker GJ, Jayson GC. DCE-MRI biomarkers in the clinical evaluation of antiangiogenic and vascular disrupting agents. Br J Cancer. 2007;96:189-195.
- Drevs J, Siegert P, Medinger M, Mross K, Strecker R, Zirrgiebel U, Harder J, Blum H, Robertson J, Jürgensmeier JM, Puchalski TA, Young H, Saunders O, Unger C. Phase I clinical study of AZD an oral vascular endothelial growth factor signaling inhibitor, in patients with advanced solid tumors. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(21):3045-3054.
- Liu G, Rugo HS, Wilding G, McShane TM, Evelhoch JL, Ng C, Jackson E, Kelcz F, Yeh BM, Lee FT, Charnsangavej C, Park JW, Ashton EA, Steinfeldt HM, Pithavala YK, Reich SD, Herbst RS. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging as a pharmacodynamic measure of response after acute dosing of AG-01 an oral angiogenesis inhibitor, in patients with advanced

solid tumors: results from a Phase I study. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(24):5464-5473.

- Mross K, Drevs J, Muller M, Medinger M, Marme D, 18. Hennig J, Morgan B, Lebwohl D, Masson E, Ho YY, Gunther C, Laurent D, Unger C. Phase I clinical and pharmacokinetic study of PTK/ZK, a multiple VEGF receptor inhibitor, in patients with liver metastases from solid tumours. Eur J Cancer. 2005;41:1291-1299.
- Mross K, Gmehling D, Frost A, Baas F, Strecker R, Hennig J, Stopfer P, Stefanic M, Stehle G, de Rossi L. . A clinical phase I, pharmacokinetic (PK), and pharmacodynamic study of twice daily BIBF 1120 in advanced cancer patients. 2005.
- Wedam SB, Low JA, Yang SX, Chow CK, Choyke P, Danforth D, Hewitt SM, Berman A, Steinberg SM, Liewehr DJ, Plehn J, Doshi A, Thomasson D, McCarthy N, Koeppen H, Sherman M, Zujewski J, Camphausen K, Chen H, Swain SM. Antiangiogenic and antitumor effects of bevacizumab in patients with inflammatory and locally advanced breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24:769-777.
- 12. Bradley DP, Tessier JL, Checkley D, Kuribayashi H, Waterton JC, Kendrew J, Wedge SR. Effects of AZD2171 and vandetanib (ZD Zactima) on haemodynamic variables in an SW620 human colon tumour model: an investigation using dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI and the rapid clearance blood pool contrast agent, P792 (gadomelitol). NMR Biomed. 2007;21(1):42-52.
- Checkley D, Tessier JJ, Kendrew J, Waterton JC, Wedge SR. Use of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI to evaluate acute treatment with ZD a VEGF signalling inhibitor, in PC-3 prostate tumours. Br J Cancer. 2003;89:1889-1895.
- Herbst RS, Heymach JV, O'Reilly MS, Onn A, Ryan AJ. Vandetanib (ZD6474): an orally available receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor that selectively targets pathways critical for tumor growth and angiogenesis. Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 2007;16:239-249.
- Holden SN, Eckhardt SG, Basser R, de Boer R, Rischin D, Green M, Rosenthal MA, Wheeler C, Barge A, Hurwitz HI. Clinical evaluation of ZD an orally active inhibitor of VEGF and EGF receptor 28. signaling, in patients with solid, malignant tumors. Ann Oncol. 2005;16(8):1391-1397.
- Tamura T, Minami H, Yamada Y, Yamamoto N, Shimoyama T, Murakami H, Horiike A, Fujisaka Y, Shinkai S, Tahara M, Kawada K, Ebi H, Sasaki Y, Jiang H, Saijo N. A Phase I dose-escalation study of ZD6474 in Japanese patients with solid, malignant tumors. J Thorac Oncol. 2006;1:1002-1009.
- Kiura K, Nakagawa K, Shinkai T, Eguchi K, Ohe Y, Yamamoto N, Tsuboi M, Yokota S, Seto T, Jiang H, Nishio K, Saijo N, Fukuoka M. A randomized, double-blind, phase IIa dose-finding study of Vandetanib (ZD6474) in Japanese patients with

non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. 2008;3:386-393.

Haddad RI, Krebs AD, Vasselli J, Paz-Ares LG, Robinson B. . A phase II open-label study of vandetanib in patients with locally advanced or metastatic hereditary medullary thyroid cancer. 2008.

- Wells SA, Gosnell JE, Gagel RF, Moley JF, Pfister DG, Sosa JA, Skinner M, Krebs A, Hou J, Schlumberger M. . Vandetanib in metastatic hereditary medullary thyroid cancer: Follow-up results of an open-label phase II trial. 2007.
  - Bradley DP, Tessier JJ, Ashton SE, Waterton JC, Wilson Z, Worthington PL, Ryan AJ. Correlation of MRI biomarkers with tumor necrosis in Hras5 tumor xenograft in athymic rats. Neoplasia. 2007;9:382-391.
- 21. Scheffler K, Hennig J. T(1) quantification with inversion recovery TrueFISP. Magn Reson Med. 2001;45:720-723.
- 22. Scheffler K. On the transient phase of balanced SSFP sequences. Magn Reson Med. 2003;49:781-783.
- 23. Tofts PS. Modeling tracer kinetics in dynamic Gd-DTPA MR imaging. J Magn Reson Imaging. 1997;7:91-101.
- Evelhoch JL, LoRusso PM, He Z, DelProposto Z, Polin L, Corbett TH, Langmuir P, Wheeler C, Stone A, Leadbetter J, Ryan AJ, Blakey DC, Waterton JC. Magnetic resonance imaging measurements of the response of murine and human tumors to the vascular-targeting agent ZD6126. Clin Cancer Res. 2004;10:3650-3657.
  - Howe FA, Robinson SP, McIntyre DJ, Stubbs M, Griffiths JR. Issues in flow and oxygenation dependent contrast (FLOOD) imaging of tumours. NMR Biomed. 2001;14:497-506.
  - Beal SL, Sheiner LB. Estimating population kinetics. Crit Rev Biomed Eng. 1982;8:195-222.
- Mandema JW, Verotta D, Sheiner LB. Building population pharmacokinetic--pharmacodynamic models. I. Models for covariate effects. J Pharmacokinet Biopharm. 1992;20:511-528.

Wedge SR, Ogilvie DJ, Dukes M, Kendrew J, Chester R, Jackson JA, Boffey SJ, Valentine PJ, Curwen JO, Musgrove HL, Graham GA, Hughes GD, Thomas AP, Stokes ES, Curry B, Richmond GH, Wadsworth PF, Bigley AL, Hennequin LF. ZD6474 inhibits vascular endothelial growth factor signaling, angiogenesis, and tumor growth following oral administration. Cancer Res. 2002;62:4645-4655.

- 29. Baselga J, Trigo JM, Rojo F, Ryan AJ, Wheeler C, Barge A, Sledge GW, Miller KD. Pharmacodynamic assessment of ZD6474 (ZACTIMA<sup>™</sup>) in the skin of patients with previously treated metastatic breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2005.
- 30. Motzer RJ, Michaelson MD, Redman BG, Hudes GR,

Wilding G, Figlin RA, Ginsberg MS, Kim ST, Baum CM, DePrimo SE, Li JZ, Bello CL, Theuer CP, George DJ, Rini BI. Activity of SU1 a multitargeted inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor and platelet-derived growth factor receptor, in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24:16-24.

- 31. Thomas AL, Morgan B, Horsfield MA, Higginson A, Kay A, Lee L, Masson E, Puccio-Pick M, Laurent D, Steward WP. Phase I study of the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of PTK787/ZK 222584 administered twice daily in patients with advanced cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23:4162-4171.
- Ferrara N, Hillan KJ, Gerber HP, Novotny W. Discovery and development of bevacizumab, an anti-VEGF antibody for treating cancer. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2004;3:391-400.
- Lee D, Heymach JV. Emerging antiangiogenic agents in lung cancer. Clin Lung Cancer. 2006;7:304-308.
- Fan F, Wey JS, McCarty MF, Belcheva A, Liu W, Bauer TW, Somcio RJ, Wu Y, Hooper A, Hicklin DJ, Ellis LM. Expression and function of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 on human colorectal cancer cells. Oncogene. 2005;24:2647-2653.
- 35. Ton NC, Parker GJM, Jackson A, Mullamitha S, Buonaccorsi GA, Roberts C, Watson Y, Davies K, Cheung S, Hope L, Power F, Lawrance J, Valle J, Saunders M, Felix R, Soranson JA, Rolfe L, 42. Zinkewich-Peotti K, Jayson GC. Phase I Evaluation of CDP791, a PEGylated Di-Fab' Conjugate that Binds Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor 2. Clin Cancer Res. 2007;13:7113-7118.
- 36. Heymach JV, Paz-Ares L, de Braud F, Sebastian M, Stewart DJ, Eberhardt WE, Ranade A, Cohen G, Trigo JM, Sandler AB, Bonomi PD, Herbst RS, Krebs AD, Vasselli J, Johnson BE. Randomized phase II study of vandetanib alone or with paclitaxel and carboplatin as first-line treatment for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin 45. Oncol. 2008;26:5407-5415.
- Heymach JV, Johnson BE, Prager D, Csada E, Roubec J, Pesek M, Spasova I, Belani CP, Bodrogi I, Gadgeel S, Kennedy SJ, Hou J, Herbst RS.

#### **Copyright & License**

Statement: Copyright © 2009, Mross et al. Holder: Mross et al Licensee: Publiverse Online S.R.L. Randomized, placebo-controlled phase II study of vandetanib plus docetaxel in previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25:4270-4277.

Saltz LB, Lenz HJ, Kindler HL, Hochster HS, Wadler S, Hoff PM, Kemeny NE, Hollywood EM, Gonen M, Quinones M, Morse M, Chen HX. Randomized phase II trial of cetuximab, bevacizumab, and irinotecan compared with cetuximab and bevacizumab alone in irinotecanrefractory colorectal cancer: the BOND-2 study. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25:4557-4561.

Tol J, Koopman M, Cats A, Rodenburg CJ, Creemers GJM, Schrama JG, Erdkamp FLG, Vos AH, Van Groeningen CJ, Sinnige HAM, Richel DJ, Voest EE, Dijkstra JR, Vink-Borger ME, Antonini NF, Mol L, van Krieken JHJM, Dalesio O, Punt CJA. Chemotherapy, Bevacizumab, and Cetuximab in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2009;360:563-572.

40. Xiong HQ, Takimoto C, Rojo F, Davis D, Huang J, Abbruzzese JL, Dugan M, Thomas A, Mita A, Steward WP. A phase I study of AEE788, a multitargeted inhibitor of ErbB and VEGF receptor family tyrosine kinases, to determine safety, PK and PD in patients (pts) with advanced colorectal cancer (CRC) and liver metastases. 2007.

41. Jain RK. Normalization of tumor vasculature: an emerging concept in antiangiogenic therapy. Science. 2005;307:58-62.

42. Lee TY, Purdie TG, Stewart E. CT imaging of angiogenesis. Q J Nucl Med. 2003;47:171-187.

Robinson SP, Kalber TL, Howe FA, McIntyre DJ, Griffiths JR, Blakey DC, Whittaker L, Ryan AJ, Waterton JC. Acute tumor response to ZD6126 assessed by intrinsic susceptibility magnetic resonance imaging. Neoplasia. 2005;7:466-474.

- Padhani AR. MRI for assessing antivascular cancer treatments. Br J Radiol. 2003;76(Spec No 1):S60-S80.
  - . Wedge SR, Jurgensmeier JM, Marme D, Fusenig N. Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors for the treatment of cancer. Tumor Angiogenesis: Basic Mechanisms and Cancer Therapy. 2008.:395-424.

*License:* **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<u>http://creativecommons.org/</u><u>publicdomain/zero/1.0/</u>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.



The present article has been published in Vascular Cell journal by Publiverse Online S.R.L.